Case 12-32118 Filed 01/16/13 Doc 667

| | 4 | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 1 | MARC A. LEVINSON (STATE BAR NO. 57613) malevinson@orrick.com | | |
| 2 | NORMAN C. HILE (STATE BAR NO. 57299) | | |
| 3 | nhile@orrick.com JOHN W. KILLEEN (STATE BAR NO. 258395) | | |
| 4 | jkilleen@orrick.com ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP | | |
| 5 | 400 Capitol Mall, Suite 3000 Sacramento, California 95814-4497 | | |
| 6 | Telephone: (916) 447-9200 Facsimile: (916) 329-4900 | | |
| 7 | Attorneys for Debtor City of Stockton | | |
| 8 | City of Stockton | | |
| 9 | UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT | | |
| 10 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 11 | SACRAMENTO DIVISION | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | In re: | Case No. 2012-32118 | |
| 14 | CITY OF STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA, | D.C. No. OHS-6 | |
| 15 | Debtor. | Chapter 9 | |
| 16 | | CITY OF STOCKTON'S MOTION FOR ORDER APPROVING ITS | |
| 17 | | ASSUMPTION OF THE MASTER LEASE – PURCHASE AGREEMENT, | |
| 18 | | AS AMENDED, WITH PIERCE MANUFACTURING, INC., RELATING | |
| 19 | | TO FOUR FIRE PUMPER TRUCKS | |
| 20 | | Date: January 30, 2013 Time: 10:00 a.m. | |
| 21 | | Dept: C, Courtroom 35 Judge: Hon. Christopher Klein | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | The City of Stockton, California, (the "City"), respectfully moves this Court for an order | | |
| 24 | pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 365(a) and (b) approving City's assumption of the Master Lease – | | |
| 25 | Purchase Agreement, as amended by the Amendment to the Master Lease – Purchase Agreement | | |
| 26 | Between Pierce Manufacturing, Inc., and City of Stockton (collectively, the "Agreement"). The | | |
| 27 | Agreement is between the City and Pierce Manufacturing, Inc. ("Pierce"). A true and correct | | |
| 28 | | | |

CITY'S MOTION FOR ASSUMPTION OF ITS AGREEMENT WITH PIERCE FOR THE LEASE OF FOUR FIRE PUMPER TRUCKS

copy of the Agreement is attached as Exhibit A to the Declaration of S. Jeff Piechura in Support of City of Stockton's Motion for Order Approving its Assumption of the Master Lease – Purchase Agreement, as Amended, with Pierce Manufacturing, Inc., with Respect to Four Fire Pumper Trucks ("Piechura Decl."). As noted in Recital 6 of the Agreement, Pierce consents to the assumption thereof.

I. BACKGROUND

Of the City's fleet of 16 fire engines, eight are beyond their useful life, with each of the eight having over 20 years of front-line service. This poses a risk to the safety of the City, its citizens and to the firefighters who use the trucks. Piechura Decl. ¶ 4. The fleet is so old and many of the trucks in such condition that often a mechanic follows the Fire Department in a separate vehicle when its trucks respond to fire alarms and other emergency calls. Piechura Decl. ¶ 4. To begin to address this deficiency, the City's 2011-12 adopted budget for the Fleet Internal Service Fund included appropriations that provided \$1,000,000 for the replacement of two fire pumpers. Due to the severe age of the existing apparatus, the City anticipated the lease or purchase of an additional two fire pumpers for another \$1,000,000 during the 2012-13 fiscal year. Piechura Decl. ¶ 5.

The City and Oshkosh Capital (the assignor to Pierce) entered into a Master Lease – Purchase Agreement, dated as of February 10, 2012 ("Original Agreement"). The Original Agreement was approved by the City Council on January 24, 2012. Piechura Decl. ¶ 3. The Original Agreement provided for the acquisition of four, custom-built pumper trucks (the four collectively, the "Trucks"). The Trucks were to be manufactured by Pierce under a separate agreement with the City, according to the City's unique specifications and subject to financing for the purchase price of the Trucks. Production of the Trucks did not commence, and Pierce refused to commence manufacture of the Trucks absent financing for the acquisition which was not available as originally contemplated in light of the City's financial condition and its consequent chapter 9 filing. The City believes that it could not assume the Original Agreement without Pierce's consent due to Bankruptcy Code § 365(c)(2). The City and Pierce then entered into

Case 12-32118 Filed 01/16/13 Doc 667

negotiations that resulted in the Agreement, the financial terms of which are nearly identical to those of the Original Agreement, save for later delivery dates. Piechura Decl. ¶ 3. Pierce will not complete manufacture and delivery of the Trucks except on the financing terms as set forth in the Agreement. The City has annual Capital Funds designated for the maintenance of payments under the Agreement. These funds are derived through inter-fund assessments for vehicle replacement and maintenance that are budgeted annually, and are identified as Internal Service Funds. II. THE AGREEMENT Pierce will manufacture and lease to the City four 2013 Pierce Quantum 1500 GPM Pumper trucks (i.e., the Trucks), with initial delivery anticipated to occur on or about March 15, 2013, for a total financed amount of \$1,871,403.70 (including sales tax). The dates of the rent payments are listed in Exhibit 1 to the Agreement (which constitutes a revised Schedule A-1). Pursuant to paragraph 4 of the Agreement, in the event that the City defaults under the Agreement, Pierce may exercise its contractual remedies against the City without the need for relief from the automatic stay. The City agreed to such provision (which is customary in the case of such equipment financings) in light of its budgeted funding for the four trucks and in light of its desperate need for the Trucks to upgrade its aging fleet. III. RELIEF REQUESTED The City requests that the Court authorize the assumption of the Agreement pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 365(a) and (b). To the extent that the City has defaulted under the Original Agreement, Pierce agrees that the conditions of Bankruptcy Code § 365(b) have been satisfied. /// /// ///

2526

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

27

28

¹ Arguably, no approval is necessary because the Agreement could be viewed as a new agreement for the acquisition of the fire pumper trucks. In that case, because Bankruptcy Code § 363 does not apply in chapter 9 cases, no "assumption" under § 365, which does apply in chapter 9 cases, would be required. However, in an abundance of caution, the City is seeking this Court's approval. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code § 904, to the extent applicable, the City consents to the Court's determining this Motion.

Case 12-32118 Filed 01/16/13 Doc 667

| 1 | IV. <u>CONCLUSION</u> | | |
|------|--|--|--|
| 2 | The City desperately needs the Trucks. The City has budgeted for the acquisition of the | | |
| 3 | Trucks. Pierce consents to the assumption of the Agreement. The City therefore requests that the | | |
| 4 | Court enter an order approving the City's entry into the Agreement and authorizing the | | |
| 5 | assumption thereof. | | |
| 6 | Dated: January 16, 2013 | ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | | Dan /a/Mara A. Lariana | |
| 9 | | By: /s/ Marc A. Levinson Marc A. Levinson | |
| 10 | | Norman C. Hile John W. Killeen | |
| 11 | | Attorneys for City of Stockton, Debtor | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | OHSUSA:7529 | | |
| 7× 1 | II | | |